UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,741	09/12/2003	Simon Tong	16113-001001	8303
26192 FISH & RICH	7590 09/20/2007 ARDSON P.C		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. PO BOX 1022			LEWIS, CHERYL RENEA	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55440-1022		16113-001001 ,EXAMINE	PAPER NUMBER
			2167	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	09/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			N.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	A
	10/661,741	TONG ET AL.	V
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cheryl Lewis	2167	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	DIVIS SET TO EXPIRE 2 M	IONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30)	DAVS
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three-months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this com- BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	2 June 2007.		•
	his action is non-final.		•
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the n	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	•
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without		•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are rejected.			•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO)-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	Application No	
Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National St	tage
application from the International Bur	,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)	· 		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	•
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of I	nformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/6/2005</u> .	6) Other:	·	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/661,741

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

- This Office Action is in response to the applicants' communication received on June 22, 2007.
- 2. Claims 1, 23, 24, and 36 are presented for examination. Applicants have not cancelled nor added any new claims.
- 3. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-46 have been considered but they are deemed to be most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Double Patenting

doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/661,741

Art Unit: 2167

5. Claims 1-46 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-58 of copending Application No. 10/661748. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claim limitations of claims 1-46 of the instant applicant, particularly the independent claims and the claim limitations of copending application no. 10/661748 recite similar claim limitations.

For instance, the only difference between the limitations of claim 1 of the instant application and claim 1 of copending application 10/661748 is that claim 1 of copending application 10/661748 states "a second search query", whereas the limitations of claim 1 of the instant application and the limitations of claim 1 of copending application 10/661748 are the same.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

NAME OF CONTACT

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl Lewis whose telephone number is (571) 272-4113. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on (571) 272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 2167

(571) 273-4113 (Use this FAX #, only after approval by Examiner, for "INFORMAL" or "DRAFT" communication. Examiners may request that a formal paper/amendment be faxed directly to them on occasions.).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/ Technology Center (571) 272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Cheryl Lewis/ Patent Examiner, A.U. 2167 September 17, 2007